arXiv:1510.05098v1 [math.RA] 17 Oct 2015

COSILTING MODULES

SIMION BREAZ AND FLAVIU POP

Abstract. We study the class of modules, called cosilting modules, which are defined as the categorical duals of silting module. Several characterizations of these modules and connections with silting modules are presented. We prove that Bazzoni's theorem about the pure-injectivity of cotilting modules is also valid for cosilting modules.

1. Introduction

Silting objects in triangulated categories, introduced by Keller and Vossieck in [12] for bounded derived categories, are important tools in the study of homotopy or derived categories since they are in correspondence with other important concepts such as (co-)t-structures or simply-minded collections of objects (see [14]). In [2] the authors introduced the notion of (partial) silting R-modules (where R is a unital associative ring) in order to study, in the category of all right R-modules, the class of kernels of those homomorphisms between projective modules which represent, as 2-term complexes, silting objects in the derived category $\mathbf{D}(R)$.

In this paper we study the modules which are defined as categorical duals (in the category of all right R-modules) of silting modules. Therefore (partial) cosilting modules are kernels of homomorphisms ζ between injective modules such that the class B_ζ consisting of all modules X with the property that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X,\zeta)$ is an epimorphism has some closure properties which are similar to those properties satisfied by the Ext-orthogonal classes associated to (partial)cotilting modules. In fact, the class of all (partial) cosilting modules contains all (partial) cotilting modules. The main characterization of cosilting modules presented in this paper is Theorem 3.7, where cosilting modules are described as those partial cosilting modules such that $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ has special precovering property. Using this theorem we prove that every partial cosilting module is a direct summand of a cosilting module (Theorem 3.9). We also describe some connections of (partial) cosilting modules with (partial) silting modules (Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.8). Then we show in Theorem 4.7 that all cosilting modules are pure-injective via the techniques used by Bazzoni [5] (where she proved that all cotilting modules are pure-injective).

Throughout this paper, by a ring R we will understand a unital associative ring, an R-module is a right R-module and we will denote by Mod-R (respectively, by R-Mod) the category of all right (respectively, left) R-modules. For an R-module T, we consider the perpendicular class ${}^{\perp}T$ defined as follows

$${}^{\perp}T = \{X \in \text{Mod-}R \mid \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(X,T) = 0\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E30, 18G15.

Key words and phrases. Cosilting module, silting module, T-cogenerated, pure-injective module, torsion-free class.

S. Breaz is supported by the Babeş-Bolyai University grant GSCE-30254.

An R-module is said to be T-generated if it is an epimorphic image of a direct sum of copies of T and an R-module is called T-cogenerated if it can be embedded into a direct product of copies of T. By $\mathrm{Gen}(T)$ (respectively, by $\mathrm{Cogen}(T)$) we will denote the class of all T-generated (respectively, T-cogenerated) R-modules. We also denote by $\mathrm{Add}(T)$ (respectively, by $\mathrm{Prod}(T)$) the class of all modules which are isomorphic to direct summands of direct sums (respectively, of direct products) of copies of T. We refer to [10] for other notions and notations used in this paper.

2. The class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$

In [2], the authors introduce the notion of *silting module* by defining the class

$$\mathcal{D}_\sigma = \{X \in \text{Mod-}R \mid \mathrm{Hom}_R(\sigma, X) \text{ is an epimorphism}\}$$

where $\sigma : P_1 \to P_0$ is an R-homomorphism of (projective) R-modules. In order to define the dual notion, of *cosilting module*, we define the class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ as follows. If $\zeta : Q_0 \to Q_1$ is an R-homomorphism, then the class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}_\zeta = \{X \in \text{Mod-}R \mid \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \zeta) \text{ is an epimorphism}\}.$$

We mention that the results presented in this section, also work in the dual case.

Dualizing the notion of defect functor considered in [6] and [13], for an R-homomorphism $\zeta : Q_0 \to Q_1$ we can consider the codefect functor which assigns to every module X the abelian group $\mathrm{Coker}\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \zeta)$. In this context the class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is in fact the kernel of this functor.

The proof of the following lemma is a simple exercise.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\zeta : Q_0 \to Q_1$ be an R-homomorphism and assume that $\zeta = \tau_\zeta \circ \pi_\zeta$ is the canonical decomposition of ζ. The following statements are equivalent for an R-module X:*

(1) *$X \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$;*
(2) *$\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \tau_\zeta)$ is an isomorphism and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \pi_\zeta)$ is an epimorphism.*

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\zeta : Q_0 \to Q_1$ be an R-homomorphism with $T = \mathrm{Ker}(\zeta)$ and let $\zeta = \tau_\zeta \circ \pi_\zeta$ be the canonical decomposition. The following statements are equivalent for an R-module X which belongs to ${}^\perp T$:*

(1) *$X \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$;*
(2) *$\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \tau_\zeta)$ is an isomorphism.*

In the following results we establish some closure properties of the class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\zeta : Q_0 \to Q_1$ be an R-homomorphism.*

(1) *The class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is closed under direct sums.*
(2) *If Q_1 is injective then the class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is closed under submodules.*
(3) *If Q_0 is injective then the class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is closed under extensions.*
(4) *If Q_0 is injective and $T = \mathrm{Ker}(\zeta)$ then $\mathcal{B}_\zeta \subseteq {}^\perp T$.*
(5) *Assume that Q_1 is injective. If $T = \mathrm{Ker}(\zeta)$ and*

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} X \to 0$$

is an exact sequence such that A and B belong to the class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ and $X \in {}^\perp T$ then $X \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$.

Proof. (1) This follows from the fact that every contravariant Hom functor sends direct sums into direct products.

(2) Let Y be in $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ and let $f : X \to Y$ be a monomorphism. If $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, Q_1)$ then, by the injectivity of Q_1, there is an homomorphism $u : Y \to Q_1$ such that $h = uf$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, \zeta)$ is an epimorphism, there exists $v \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, Q_0)$ with $u = \zeta v$, so we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Q_1 & \xleftarrow{\zeta} & Q_0 \\ & & {\scriptstyle h}\uparrow & \nwarrow^{u} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle v} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

such that the bottom row is exact.

Then $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \zeta)(vf) = h$. It follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \zeta)$ is an epimorphism, hence $X \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$.

(3) Let $0 \to X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \to 0$ be an exact sequence with $X, Z \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$. If $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, Q_1)$ there is $k \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, Q_0)$ such that $\zeta k = hf$ (since $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \zeta)$ is epic). Since Q_0 is injective, there is $u : Y \to Q_0$ with $k = uf$. Because $(\zeta u - h)f = 0$, there exists $v : Z \to Q_1$ such that $\zeta u - h = vg$. But $Z \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$, hence there is $r \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(Z, Q_0)$ with $\zeta r = v$. All these homomorphisms are represented in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & {\scriptstyle k}\searrow & {\scriptstyle u}\downarrow & \swarrow_{h} & {\scriptstyle r}\swarrow\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle v} & & \\ & & & & Q_0 & \xrightarrow[\zeta]{} & Q_1. & & \end{array}$$

Therefore $\mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, \zeta)(u - rg) = h$, and we obtain that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, \zeta)$ is an epimorphism since h was arbitrarily. Hence $Y \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$, and the proof is complete.

(4) Let $X \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$. Applying the covariant $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, -)$ functor to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to T \xrightarrow{i} Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi_\zeta} \mathrm{Im}(\zeta) \to 0,$$

where $\zeta = \tau_\zeta \circ \pi_\zeta$ is the canonical decomposition, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, Q_0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \mathrm{Im}(\zeta)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(X, T) \to 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have that the homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \pi_\zeta)$ is an epimorphism, hence $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(X, T) = 0$. It follows that $X \in {}^\perp T$.

(5) Suppose that $\zeta = \tau_\zeta \circ \pi_\zeta$ is the canonical decomposition. Applying the contravariant functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathrm{Im}(\zeta))$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \mathrm{Im}(\zeta)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(B, \mathrm{Im}(\zeta)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, \mathrm{Im}(\zeta)).$$

We also apply the contravariant functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, Q_1)$, and we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, Q_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(B, Q_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, Q_1) \to 0.$$

Since A and B lie in $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$, it follows by Lemma 2.1, that both $\mathrm{Hom}_R(A, \tau_\zeta)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(B, \tau_\zeta)$ are isomorphisms. It follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(f, \mathrm{Im}(\zeta))$ is an epimorphism,

hence we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \mathrm{Im}(\zeta)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(B, \mathrm{Im}(\zeta)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, \mathrm{Im}(\zeta)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, Q_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(B, Q_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, Q_1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Applying the Snake Lemma we get that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \tau_\zeta)$ is an isomorphism. The conclusion follows from Corollary 2.2. □

3. Cosilting Modules

Definition 3.1. We say that an R-module T is:

(1) *partial cosilting* (*with respect to* ζ), if there exists an injective copresentation of T

$$0 \to T \xrightarrow{f} Q_0 \xrightarrow{\zeta} Q_1$$

such that:
(a) $T \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$, and
(b) the class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is closed under direct products;

(2) *cosilting* (*with respect to* ζ), if there exists an injective copresentation

$$0 \to T \xrightarrow{f} Q_0 \xrightarrow{\zeta} Q_1$$

of T such that $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) = \mathcal{B}_\zeta$.

Remark 3.2. If $\zeta : Q_0 \to Q_1$ is a homomorphism such that Q_0 and Q_1 are injective R-modules then the class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is closed under submodules and extensions (Lemma 2.3). Hence the condition (b) in the definition of partial cosilting module is equivalent to the fact that the class $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is a torsion-free class. Moreover, since $\mathrm{Cogen}(T)$ is closed under direct products, it is easy to see that every cosilting module is partial cosilting.

In the following example we will see that every (partial) cotilting module is (partial) cosilting and, for every ring R, the trivial module 0 is cosilting. Moreover, even for some hereditary rings there exists non-zero cosilting modules which are not cotilting.

Example 3.3. (a) Let us recall, from [8], that a right R-module T is *partial cotilting* if and only if $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) \subseteq {}^\perp T$ and the class ${}^\perp T$ is a torsion-free class. Moreover, T is *cotilting* if and only if $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) = {}^\perp T$. We note that the class ${}^\perp T$ is closed under submodules if and only if $\mathrm{id}(T) \leq 1$ ($\mathrm{id}(T)$ denotes the injective dimension of T), so every partial cotilting module is of injective dimension at most 1.

Let T be an R-module of injective dimension at most 1, and consider an exact sequence

$$0 \to T \xrightarrow{f} Q_0 \xrightarrow{\zeta} Q_1 \to 0$$

with Q_0 and Q_1 injective R-modules. *Then T is (partial) cotilting if and only if T is (partial) cosilting with respect to ζ.*

The direct implications are obvious since $\mathcal{B}_\zeta = {}^\perp T$. If we assume that T is partial cosilting with respect to ζ, we have $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\zeta = {}^\perp T$. Since $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is closed with respect to direct products it follows that T is partial cotilting module. Moreover, if T is cosilting with respect to ζ, we obtain $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) = \mathcal{B}_\zeta = {}^\perp T$, hence T is cotilting.

(b) For every ring R we consider an injective cogenerator E for Mod-R. If $\zeta : 0 \to E$ is the trivial homomorphism then $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ contains only the trivial module, hence $0 = \mathrm{Ker}(\zeta)$ is a cosilting module.

(c) Let κ be a field, and let R be the ring of all upper 2×2 triangular matrices over κ. In the category Mod-R there are two simple modules $S = (0, \kappa)$ and $T = Q/S$, where $Q = (\kappa, \kappa)$. If $\zeta : Q \to T \oplus T$ is a homomorphism such that $\mathrm{Ker}(\zeta) = S$, then $X \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(X, S) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, T) = 0$. Now it is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}_\zeta = \mathrm{Cogen}(S)$, hence S is cosilting. On the other hand, S is not a cotilting module, since $Q \in {}^\perp S \setminus \mathrm{Cogen}(S)$.

Applying Lemma 2.3 we obtain:

Lemma 3.4. *If the R-module T is partial cosilting with respect to the injective copresentation $\zeta : Q_0 \to Q_1$, then* $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\zeta \subseteq {}^\perp T$.

Since $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) \subseteq {}^\perp T$, some of the properties of (partial) cotilting modules are still valid for (partial) cosilting modules. We recall that the class $\mathrm{Copres}(T)$ is the class of all modules X which are kernels of homomorphisms between direct products of copies of T. In the following we will denote by ${}^\circ T = \{X \in \text{Mod-}R \mid \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, T) = 0\}$, the torsion class induced by T.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\zeta : Q_0 \to Q_1$ be a homomorphism between injective modules. If $T = \mathrm{Ker}(\zeta)$, then the following are true:*

(1) *If T is partial cosilting then the pair $({}^\circ T, \mathrm{Cogen}(T))$ is a torsion pair.*
(2) *T is cosilting with respect to ζ if and only if $({}^\circ T, \mathcal{B}_\zeta)$ is a torsion pair.*
(3) *If T is a cosilting R-module then* $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) = \mathrm{Copres}(T)$.

Proof. (1) This follows from the inclusion $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) \subseteq {}^\perp T$ and [7, Proposition 1.4.2] by a standard proof.

(2) The direct implication is a consequence of (1). For converse, if $({}^\circ T, \mathcal{B}_\zeta)$ is a torsion pair, then $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is closed with respect to direct products and $T \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$. It follows that T is partial cosilting, and we apply (1) to obtain that $({}^\circ T, \mathrm{Cogen}(T))$ is a torsion pair. Then $\mathcal{B}_\zeta = \mathrm{Cogen}(T)$, and the proof is complete.

(3) If X is a T-cogenerated module, since $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) \subseteq {}^\perp T$, we can apply [7, Lemma 4.2.1] to obtain an exact sequence $0 \to X \to T^I \to Y \to 0$ such that $Y \in {}^\perp T$. By Lemma 2.3(5) it follows that $Y \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$, hence $X \in \mathrm{Copres}(T)$. □

In order to construct partial cosilting modules, we can use the same technique as in [8, Proposition 2.8] .

Proposition 3.6. *Let S be a commutative ring, and let R be an S-algebra. If E is an injective cogenerator for the category* Mod-S, *we denote by*

$$(-)^d = \mathrm{Hom}_S(-, E) : R\text{-Mod} \rightleftarrows \text{Mod-}R : \mathrm{Hom}_S(-, E) = (-)^d$$

the Hom-*contravariant functors induced by E.*

Suppose that

$$P_2 \to P_1 \xrightarrow{\zeta} P_0 \to M \to 0$$

is a projective presentation of the left R-module M. The following statements are true:

(1) *If $X \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta^d}$ then $X^d \in \mathcal{D}_\zeta$.*
(2) *If all projective modules P_i are finitely presented and $Y \in \mathcal{D}_\zeta$ then $Y^d \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta^d}$.*

(3) *Suppose that all projective modules P_i are finitely presented. Then the left R-module M is partial silting with respect to ζ if and only if the dual M^d is a partial cosilting right R-module with respect to ζ^d.*

Proof. Let U be the image of ζ and let $\zeta = \tau_\zeta \circ \pi_\zeta$ be the canonical decomposition of ζ (i.e. $\pi_\zeta : P_1 \to U$ and $\tau_\zeta : U \to P_0$). By the dual result of Lemma 2.1 we obtain that a left R-module Y is in the class $\mathcal{D}_\zeta$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, Y) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_\zeta, Y)$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, the exact sequence

$$0 \to M^d \to P_0^d \stackrel{\zeta^d}{\to} P_1^d$$

is an injective copresentation of M^d and $\zeta^d = \pi_\zeta^d \circ \tau_\zeta^d$ is the canonical decomposition of ζ^d. Therefore, a right R-module X belongs to the class $\mathcal{B}_{\zeta^d}$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(X, M^d) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \pi_\zeta^d)$ is an isomorphism.

(1) Let $X \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta^d}$. Then we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(X, M^d) = 0$. By [10, Lemma 2.16(b)] we observe that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(X, M^d) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(X, M)^d$, so that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(X, M^d) = 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(X, M)^d = 0$, and this is equivalent to $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, X^d) = 0$ (by the left-side version of [10, Lemma 2.16(b)]). Now we can use [10, Lemma 2.16(a)] and its left-side version to observe that there are natural isomorphisms of functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, (-)^d) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} (X \otimes_R -)^d \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, X^d),$$

and it follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_\zeta, X^d)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, $X^d \in \mathcal{D}_\zeta$.

(2) Suppose that $Y \in \mathcal{D}_\zeta$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, Y) = 0$, hence $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, Y)^d = 0$, and we can use the left version of [10, Lemma 2.16(d)] to obtain $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(Y^d, M) = 0$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(Y^d, M)^d = 0$, and it follows by [10, Lemma 2.16(b)] that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(Y^d, M^d) = 0$.

Moreover, $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_\zeta, Y)$ is an isomorphism, hence $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_\zeta, Y)^d$ is an isomorphism. Now we can use the left version of [10, Lemma 2.16(c)] to observe that

$$Y^d \otimes_R \pi_\zeta : Y^d \otimes_R P_1 \to Y^d \otimes_R U$$

is an isomorphism, hence $(Y^d \otimes_R \pi_\zeta)^d$ is an isomorphism. By [10, Lemma 2.16(a)] it follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(Y^d, \pi_\zeta^d)$ is an isomorphism, and the proof is complete.

(3) Suppose that M is partial silting with respect to ζ. We will prove that M^d is partial cosilting with respect to ζ^d. Since $M \in \mathcal{D}_\zeta$, it follows, by (2), that $M^d \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta^d}$.

Applying the same techniques as before, we observe that we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(-, M^d) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(-, M)^d.$$

But E is an injective cogenerator, so for every right R-module X we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(X, M^d) = 0 \text{ if and only if } \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(X, M) = 0.$$

Since the left modules M and U are finitely presented, it follows by [16, Theorem A] that the functor $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(-, M)$ commutes with respect to direct products, hence the class ${}^\perp M^d$ is closed with respect to direct products.

In the same way, since E is an injective cogenerator we have that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \pi_\zeta^d)$ is an isomorphism if and only if the homomorphism $X \otimes_R \pi_\zeta$ is an isomorphism, and it follows that the class of all right R-modules X such that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \pi_\zeta^d)$ is an isomorphism is closed with respect to direct products (we note that P_1 and U are finitely presented, hence the tensor functor induced by them commutes with

respect to direct products). Therefore the class $\mathcal{B}_{\zeta^d}$ is closed with respect to direct products. Hence M^d is partial cosilting with respect to ζ^d.

Now, suppose that M^d is partial cosilting with respect to ζ^d. A left R-module X is in $\mathcal{D}_\zeta$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M,X)=0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_\zeta,X)$ is an isomorphism. Since M, P_1 and U are finitely presented, it follows that the functors $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M,-)$, $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P_1,-)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(U,-)$ commute with respect to direct sums. Therefore $\mathcal{D}_\zeta$ is closed with respect to direct sums.

In order to prove that $M\in\mathcal{D}_\zeta$, let us observe that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M,M)=0$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M,M)^d=0$. Since M has a projective resolution in which the first three projective modules are finitely presented, this is equivalent to $\mathrm{Tor}^R_1(M^d,M)=0$. Applying again [10, Lemma 2.16(b)], this is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M^d,M^d)\cong\mathrm{Tor}^R_1(M^d,M)^d=0.$$

Since $M^d\in{}^\perp M^d$, it follows that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M,M)=0$.

We have to prove that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_\zeta,M)$ is an isomorphism. Since E is an injective cogenerator, this is equivalent to $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_\zeta,M)^d$ is an isomorphism. Applying the Hom-Tensor relations, we obtain that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_\zeta,M)^d$ is an isomorphism if and only if $M^d\otimes_R\pi_\zeta$ is an isomorphism. Again, this is equivalent to $(M^d\otimes_R\pi_\zeta)^d$ is an isomorphism, and this is true if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M^d,\pi_\zeta^d)$ is an isomorphism. □

Let us recall from [17] that if M is an R-module then $\sigma[M]$ denotes the full subcategory of Mod-R whose objects are submodules of M-generated modules. Recall that $\sigma[M]$ is a Grothendieck category, and if E is an injective cogenerator in Mod-R then $\mathrm{Tr}_M(E)=\sum_{f\in\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,E)}\mathrm{Im}(f)$, the maximal M-generated submodule of E, is an injective cogenerator for the category $\sigma[M]$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let T be an R-module and let E be an injective cogenerator in* Mod-R. *If $0\to T\to Q_0\xrightarrow{\zeta}Q_1$ is an injective copresentation for T then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *T is cosilting with respect to ζ;*
(2) *T has the following properties:*
   (a) *T is partial cosilting with respect to ζ, and*
   (b) *there exists an exact sequence*

$$0\to T_1\to T_0\xrightarrow{\gamma}E$$

such that $T_0,T_1\in\mathrm{Prod}(T)$ and for every $T'\in\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ the homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(T',\gamma)$ is epic.

Proof. (1)⇒(2) Suppose that T is cosilting with respect to ζ. Let I be the torsion part of R with respect to the torsion pair $({}^\circ T,\mathrm{Cogen}(T))$. Then for every $X\in\mathrm{Cogen}(T)$ the canonical homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I,X)\to\mathrm{Hom}_R(R,X)$ is an isomorphism, hence X is R/I-generated. In particular $\mathrm{Cogen}(T)\subseteq\sigma[R/I]$.

Let $\alpha: R/I^{(\Lambda)}\to\mathrm{Tr}_{R/I}(E)$ be an epimorphism. Since $R/I\in\mathrm{Cogen}(T)$, it follows that $R/I^{(\Lambda)}\in\mathrm{Cogen}(T)$, hence we have a monomorphism $0\to R/I^{(\Lambda)}\to T^\Omega$. We can view this monomorphism in the category $\sigma[R/I]$, and we obtain that α can be extended to an epimorphism $\beta: T^\Omega\to\mathrm{Tr}_{R/I}(E)$, by the injectivity of $\mathrm{Tr}_{R/I}(E)$ in the category $\sigma[R/I]$. Now the kernel $K=\mathrm{Ker}(\beta)$ is T-cogenerated, hence, taking into account Corollary 3.5, it is T-copresented. It follows that we

have an exact sequence

$$0 \to K \to T^Z \to L \to 0$$

such that $L \in \mathrm{Cogen}(T)$.

Using all these data we construct the pushout diagram



.

Since $\mathrm{Cogen}(T)$ is a torsion-free class, it is closed with respect to extensions, and it follows, by the second vertical exact sequence, that $X \in \mathrm{Cogen}(T)$. Therefore, the exact sequence

$$0 \to T^Z \to X \to \mathrm{Tr}_{R/I}(E) \to 0$$

is in $\sigma[R/I]$.

Let $V \in \mathrm{Cogen}(T)$. If we apply the covariant extension functor $\mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma[R/I]}(V, -)$ on the above exact sequence, we obtain the following exact sequence of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma[R/I]}(V, T^Z) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma[R/I]}(V, X) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma[R/I]}(V, \mathrm{Tr}_{R/I}(E)).$$

In this exact sequence the first group is zero since

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\sigma[R/I]}(V, T^Z) \leq \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(V, T^Z) = 0,$$

and the third group is also trivial since $\mathrm{Tr}_{R/I}(E)$ is injective in $\sigma[R/I]$.

Therefore, every short exact sequence

$$0 \to X \to U \to V \to 0$$

with $U \in \sigma[R/I]$ and $V \in \mathrm{Cogen}(T)$ splits.

By Corollary 3.5, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to X \to T^\Gamma \to W \to 0$$

with $W \in \mathrm{Cogen}(T)$. Since this is an exact sequence in $\sigma[R/I]$ and $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) \subseteq \sigma[R/I]$, it splits, hence $X \in \mathrm{Prod}(T)$. Therefore, in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to T^Z \to X \to \mathrm{Tr}_{R/I}(E) \to 0$$

the first two terms are in $\mathrm{Prod}(T)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{R/I}(E)$ is a submodule of E, so it can be viewed as an exact sequence

$$(\sharp) \qquad 0 \to T^Z \to X \xrightarrow{\gamma} E$$

as in (b).

Moreover, if U belongs to $\mathcal{B}_\zeta = \mathrm{Cogen}(T)$ and $\alpha : U \to E$ is a homomorphism then $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{Tr}_{R/I}(E)$, since U is a submodule of a R/I-generated module. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_R(U, E) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(U, \mathrm{Tr}_{R/I}(E))$, and we obtain that the natural homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(U, \gamma) : \mathrm{Hom}_R(U, X) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(U, E)$$

is epic since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(U, T^Z) = 0$. Therefore, the exact sequence ($\sharp$) satisfies all conditions stated in (b).

(2)⇒(1) It is enough to prove that $\mathcal{B}_\zeta \subseteq \mathrm{Cogen}(T)$. Let $X \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$. Let E be an injective cogenerator for Mod-R. Then, for every non-zero element $x \in X$, there exists a homomorphism $\alpha : X \to E$ such that $\alpha(x) \neq 0$. By (b) we can lift α to a homomorphism $\overline{\alpha} : X \to T_0$. From $T_0 \in \mathrm{Prod}(T)$, it follows that, for every $0 \neq x \in X$, there exists a homomorphism $\alpha' : X \to T$ such that $\alpha'(x) \neq 0$. It follows that X is T-cogenerated, and the proof is complete. □

We obtain the cosilting version of [7, Proposition 5.2.7].

Corollary 3.8. *Suppose that we are in the same hypotheses as in Proposition* 3.6(3).

(1) *If M is a silting module with respect to ζ then M^d is a cosilting module with respect to ζ^d.*
(2) *Suppose that R is an Artin algebra, S is the center of R and $(-)^d$ is the standard duality between finitely presented left and right modules induced by the injective envelope of $S/J(S)$. Then M is a silting module with respect to ζ if and only if M^d is a cosilting module with respect to ζ^d.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that M is silting with respect to ζ. By [2, Proposition 3.11] there exists an exact sequence

$$R \xrightarrow{\alpha} M_0 \to M_1 \to 0$$

such that $M_0, M_1 \in \mathrm{Add}(M)$, and for every left R-module $Y \in \mathcal{D}_\zeta$ the homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\alpha, Y)$ is an epimorphism. Applying the functor $(-)^d$ we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to M_1^d \to M_0^d \xrightarrow{\alpha^d} R^d$$

such that $M_0^d, M_1^d \in \mathrm{Prod}(M^d)$ and R^d is an injective cogenerator for Mod-R. By Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 3.6(3), it is enough to prove that for every $X \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta^d}$ the homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \alpha^d)$ is epic.

Let $X \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta^d}$. By Proposition 3.6(1) we know that $X^d \in \mathcal{D}_\zeta$, so the homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\alpha, X^d)$ is epic. Moreover, for every $Y \in R$-Mod we have the natural isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, Y^d) \cong (X \otimes_R Y)^d \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, X^d),$$

and if we take $Y = M_0$, respectively $Y = R$, we obtain that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \alpha^d)$ is an epimorphism.

(2) Suppose that M^d is cosilting with respect to ζ^d. Then M^d is partial cosilting with respect to ζ^d. Moreover, if we look at the proof of Theorem 3.7 we observe, using [7, Corollary 1.3.3], that in this case we can construct the exact sequence

$$0 \to T_1 \to T_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} E$$

such that $E = R^d$ and $T_0, T_1 \in \mathrm{add}(M^d)$. Since the functors $(-)^d$ give a duality between finitely presented left and right R-modules we can write this exact sequence as

$$0 \to T_1 \to T_0^{dd} \stackrel{\gamma^{dd}}{\to} E^{dd},$$

and we observe that for every $Y \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta^d}$ we have that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, \gamma^{dd}) : \mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, T_0^{dd}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, E^{dd})$$

is an epimorphism. This implies that

$$(Y \otimes_R \gamma^d)^d : (Y \otimes_R T_0^d)^d \to (Y \otimes_R E^d)^d$$

is an epimorphism, so $Y \otimes_R \gamma^d$ is a monomorphism.

Let $X \in \mathcal{D}_\zeta$. By Proposition 3.6(2) we obtain that $X^d \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta^d}$, so

$$(X^d \otimes_R \gamma^d) : X^d \otimes_R E^d \to X^d \otimes_R T_0^d$$

is a monomorphism. This implies that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\gamma^d, X)^d : \mathrm{Hom}_R(E^d, X)^d \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(T_0^d, X)^d$$

is a monomorphism, hence $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\gamma^d, X)$ is an epimorphism.

Therefore, since $R = E^d$, we have an exact sequence

$$R \stackrel{\gamma^d}{\to} T_0^d \to T_1^d \to 0$$

such that $T_0^d, T_1^d \in \mathrm{add}(M)$, and for every $X \in \mathcal{D}_\zeta$ the homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\gamma^d, X)$ is epic. By [2, Proposition 3.11] we obtain that M is silting with respect to ζ, and the proof is complete. □

We thank to Lidia Angeleri-Hügel for indicating us the following result: the dual of [2, Theorem 3.12] is also valid.

Theorem 3.9. *Let T be a partial cosilting R-module with respect to an injective copresentation $0 \to T \to Q_0 \stackrel{\zeta}{\to} Q_1$. Then there exists an R-module M and an injective copresentation $0 \to T \oplus M \to Q_0' \stackrel{\zeta'}{\to} Q_1'$ such that $T \oplus M$ is cosilting with respect to ζ' and $\mathcal{B}_\zeta = \mathcal{B}_{\zeta'}$.*

Proof. Let E be an injective cogenerator for Mod-R. If $\Lambda = \mathrm{Hom}_R(E, Q_1)$, and $\psi : E \to Q_1^\Lambda$ is the canonical homomorphism, then we denote by M the pullback of the diagram $Q_0^\Lambda \stackrel{\zeta^\Lambda}{\to} Q_1^\Lambda \stackrel{\psi}{\leftarrow} E$. Then M is the cokernel of the map $\gamma : Q_0^\Lambda \oplus E \to Q_1^\Lambda$ induced by ζ^Λ and ψ. We consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to M \oplus T \to Q_0^\Lambda \oplus E \oplus Q_0 \stackrel{\gamma \oplus \zeta}{\to} Q_1^\Lambda \oplus Q_1,$$

and we will prove that $M \oplus T$ is cosilting with respect to $\gamma \oplus \zeta$.

Note that $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma \oplus \zeta} = \mathcal{B}_\gamma \cap \mathcal{B}_\zeta$. Let X be a module in $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$. Then $X \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta^\Lambda}$. Since every homomorphism $\alpha : X \to Q_1^\Lambda$ factorizes as $\alpha = \zeta^\Lambda \beta$, with $\beta : X \to Q_0^\Lambda$, it follows that $\alpha = \gamma\delta$, where $\delta : X \to E \oplus Q_0^\Lambda$ is the homomorphism induced by β and 0. Therefore, $\mathcal{B}_\zeta \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\gamma$, hence $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma \oplus \zeta} = \mathcal{B}_\zeta$, hence $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma \oplus \zeta}$ is closed with respect direct products.

Now, we will prove that $M \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$. Let $\alpha : M \to Q_1$ be a homomorphism. We embed M in the solid rectangle of the following commutative diagram



and we observe that $\alpha\upsilon : T^\Lambda \to Q_1$ factorizes through ζ (since $T^\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$), hence $\alpha\upsilon = \zeta\xi$ with $\xi : T^\Lambda \to Q_0$. Since Q_0 is injective there exists a homomorphism $\rho : M \to Q_0$ such that $\xi = \rho\upsilon$. Note that $\zeta\rho - \alpha$ factorize through ϵ, hence there exists $\lambda : E \to Q_1$ such that $\lambda\epsilon = \zeta\rho - \alpha$. In the above diagram π_λ denote the canonical projections onto the λ-th corresponding components. Since $\pi_\lambda\psi = \lambda$ we obtain $\zeta\rho - \alpha = \lambda\epsilon = \pi_\lambda\psi\epsilon = \zeta\pi_\lambda\mu$, hence α factorizes through ζ. Then $M \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta = \mathcal{B}_{\lambda\oplus\zeta}$. It follows that $M \oplus T$ is partial cosilting with respect to $\lambda \oplus \zeta$.

By Theorem 3.7, in order to complete the proof it is enough to prove that every homomorphism $\beta : M' \to E$, with $M' \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$, factorize through ϵ. This is true since $\psi\beta$ factorizes through ζ^Λ, and M is constructed as a pullback of ψ and ζ^Λ. □

The following Corollary is already known, and it can be deduced from [1, p.93] and the dual of Bongarz Lemma, [10, Proposition 6.43].

Corollary 3.10. *Every partial cotilting module is a direct summand of a cotilting module.*

Proof. If in the proof of Theorem 3.9 we assume that ζ is an epimorphism, then we obtain that $\gamma \oplus \zeta$ is an epimorphism, so $M \oplus T$ is cotilting by Example 3.3. □

4. Cosilting Modules are Pure Injective

We recall that a short exact sequence in Mod-R is said to be *pure-exact* if the covariant functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(F, -)$ preserves its exactness for every finitely presented module F. An R-module U is *pure-injective* if the contravariant functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, U)$ preserves the exactness of every pure-exact sequence.

In order to prove the main result of this section, i.e. all cosilting modules are pure-injective, we need the following characterization of pure-injective modules.

Proposition 4.1. [15, Theorem 7.1] *An R-module U is pure-injective if and only if the contravariant functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, U)$ preserves the exactness of the canonical short exact sequence*

$$0 \to U^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow U^\lambda \longrightarrow U^\lambda/U^{(\lambda)} \to 0$$

for every cardinal λ.

We also need some useful lemmas related to cardinals. Let I be a set and let $\mathcal{I}$ be a family of subsets of I. We say that $\mathcal{I}$ is *almost disjoint* if the intersection of any two distinct elements of $\mathcal{I}$ is finite.

Lemma 4.2. [5, Lemma 2.3] *Let λ be an infinite cardinal. Then there is a family of $\lambda^{\aleph_0}$ countable almost disjoint subsets of λ.*

Lemma 4.3. [11, Lemma 3.1] *For any cardinal γ, there is an infinite cardinal $\lambda \geq \gamma$ such that $\lambda^{\aleph_0} = 2^\lambda$. Consequently, for every cardinal γ, there is an infinite cardinal λ such that $\gamma \leq \lambda < \lambda^{\aleph_0}$.*

We have the following construction given by Bazzoni in the proof of [5, Proposition 2.5]. We present the details for reader's convenience.

Proposition 4.4. *Let T be an R-module and let λ be an infinite cardinal. Then there is a submodule $T^{(\lambda)} \leq V \leq T^\lambda$ such that $V/T^{(\lambda)} \cong X^{(\lambda^{\aleph_0})}$, where $X = T^{\aleph_0}/T^{(\aleph_0)}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, there is a family $\mathcal{I} = \{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \leq \lambda^{\aleph_0}}$ of $\lambda^{\aleph_0}$ countable almost disjoint subsets of λ. We view T^{I_α} as embedded in T^λ and we consider $p_\alpha : T^{I_\alpha} \to T^\lambda/T^{(\lambda)}$ be the restriction to T^{I_α} of the canonical projection $p : T^\lambda \to T^\lambda/T^{(\lambda)}$, for all $\alpha \leq \lambda^{\aleph_0}$. We note that $\mathrm{Ker}(p_\alpha) = T^{(I_\alpha)}$ and $\mathrm{Im}(p_\alpha) \cong X$, for all $\alpha \leq \lambda^{\aleph_0}$. Moreover, the sum $\sum_{\alpha \leq \lambda^{\aleph_0}} \mathrm{Im}(p_\alpha)$ in $T^\lambda/T^{(\lambda)}$ is actually a direct sum.

Since all $\mathrm{Im}(p_\alpha)$ are submodules of $T^\lambda/T^{(\lambda)}$, it follows that $\bigoplus_{\alpha \leq \lambda^{\aleph_0}} \mathrm{Im}(p_\alpha) \cong X^{(\lambda^{\aleph_0})}$ is also a submodule of $T^\lambda/T^{(\lambda)}$, hence there is a submodule $T^{(\lambda)} \leq V \leq T^\lambda$ such that $V/T^{(\lambda)} \cong X^{(\lambda^{\aleph_0})}$. □

Proposition 4.5. *Let T be a partial cosilting R-module with respect to $\zeta : Q_0 \to Q_1$. Then $T^{\aleph_0}/T^{(\aleph_0)} \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$.*

Proof. Suppose that $T \neq 0$ is partial cosilting with respect to the injective copresentation

$$0 \to T \xrightarrow{f} Q_0 \xrightarrow{\zeta} Q_1.$$

By definition, T belongs to $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$, hence for every cardinal γ we have $T^{(\gamma)} \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$ (by Lemma 2.3) and $T^\gamma \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$. By Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(T^\gamma, T) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(T^{(\gamma)}, T).$$

By Lemma 2.3 it is enough to prove that $T^{\aleph_0}/T^{(\aleph_0)} \in {}^\perp T$. We denote by X the R-module $T^{\aleph_0}/T^{(\aleph_0)}$.

Using Lemma 4.3 we can fix an infinite cardinal $\lambda \geq |\mathrm{Hom}_R(T,T)|$ such that $\lambda^{\aleph_0} = 2^\lambda$. We note that from $\mathrm{Hom}_R(T,T) \neq 0$ we have $\lambda \geq |\mathrm{Hom}_R(T,T)| \geq 2$, hence $|\mathrm{Hom}_R(T,T)|^\lambda = 2^\lambda$ since $2^\lambda \leq |\mathrm{Hom}_R(T,T)|^\lambda \leq \lambda^\lambda = \lambda^{\aleph_0 \lambda} = 2^{\lambda\lambda} = 2^\lambda$.

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-,T)$ to the canonical short exact sequence

$$0 \to T^{(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{i} T^\lambda \xrightarrow{p} T^\lambda/T^{(\lambda)} \to 0,$$

we obtain the inequality

$$|\mathrm{Hom}_R(T,T)|^\lambda \geq \left|\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(T^\lambda/T^{(\lambda)}, T)\right|.$$

By Proposition 4.4, there is a submodule $T^{(\lambda)} \leq V \leq T^\lambda$ such that $V/T^{(\lambda)} \cong X^{(\lambda^{\aleph_0})}$. Since $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is closed under submodules (cf. Lemma 2.3), we observe that V belongs to $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ hence $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(V,T) = 0$. Applying the $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-,T)$ functor to the

following pushout commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & T^{(\lambda)} & = & T^{(\lambda)} & & & & \\
 & & {\scriptstyle r}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{u} & T^{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{v} & T^{\lambda}/V & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & {\scriptstyle s}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \| & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & V/T^{(\lambda)} & \xrightarrow{j} & T^{\lambda}/T^{(\lambda)} & \xrightarrow{q} & T^{\lambda}/V & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & 0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}^2_R(T^{\lambda}/V, T) \\
 & & \uparrow & & \| \\
\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(T^{\lambda}/T^{(\lambda)}, T) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(V/T^{(\lambda)}, T) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}^2_R(T^{\lambda}/V, T).
\end{array}$$

It follows that the natural homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(T^{\lambda}/T^{(\lambda)}, T) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(V/T^{(\lambda)}, T)$$

is an epimorphism, hence we have the inequality between cardinals

$$\left|\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(T^{\lambda}/T^{(\lambda)}, T)\right| \geq \left|\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(V/T^{(\lambda)}, T)\right| = \left|\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(X, T)\right|^{\lambda^{\aleph_0}}.$$

Now, if we assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(X, T) \neq 0$, then $\left|\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(X, T)\right| \geq 2$, and we obtain

$$2^{\lambda} = |\operatorname{Hom}_R(T, T)|^{\lambda} \geq \left|\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(X, T)\right|^{\lambda^{\aleph_0}} \geq 2^{\lambda^{\aleph_0}} = 2^{2^{\lambda}},$$

which is a contradiction. It follows that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(X, T) = 0$, hence $T^{\aleph_0}/T^{(\aleph_0)} \in {}^{\perp}T$, and the proof is complete. □

We have the following result which holds in general.

Proposition 4.6. [5, Lemma 2.7] *Let X and U be two R-modules. If $X^{\aleph_0}/X^{(\aleph_0)} \in \operatorname{Cogen}(U)$ then $X^{\lambda}/X^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname{Cogen}(U)$, for every cardinal λ.*

Theorem 4.7. *Let T be an R-module. If T is partial cosilting then T is pure-injective.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.9 it is enough to prove that every cosilting module is pure-injective. In order to obtain this we will prove that for every cardinal λ then functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, T)$ preserves the exactness of the canonical short exact sequence

$$0 \to T^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow T^{\lambda} \longrightarrow T^{\lambda}/T^{(\lambda)} \to 0.$$

Suppose that T is cosilting with respect to ζ. By Proposition 4.5, we have $T^{\aleph_0}/T^{(\aleph_0)} \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta}$. Moreover, $T^{\aleph_0}/T^{(\aleph_0)} \in \mathcal{B}_{\zeta} = \operatorname{Cogen}(T)$, and applying Proposition 4.6 we obtain $T^{\lambda}/T^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname{Cogen}(T)$ for every cardinal λ. It follows that $T^{\lambda}/T^{(\lambda)} \in {}^{\perp}T$ for every cardinal λ, and it follows that T is pure-injective. □

We recall that a full subcategory (or a subclass) of Mod-R is a *definable subcategory* if it is closed in Mod-R under direct products, direct limits and pure submodules.

Corollary 4.8. *If T is a cosilting R-module with respect to ζ, then the class $\mathrm{Cogen}(T) = \mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is definable.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$ is closed with respect to direct limits. If $B = \varinjlim_{i\in I} B_i$ such that all B_i are modules from $\mathcal{B}_\zeta$, then there exists a pure exact sequence

$$0 \to L \to \bigoplus_{i\in I} B_i \to B \to 0.$$

Since T is pure injective, it follows that $B \in {}^{\perp}T$. By Lemma 2.3(5) we obtain $B \in \mathcal{B}_\zeta$, and the proof is complete. □

References

[1] L. Angeleri-Hügel, and F. Coelho. "Infinitely generated complements to partial tilting modules." *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 132, (2002): 89–96.

[2] L. Angeleri-Hügel, F. Marks, and J. Vitória. "Silting Modules." *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnv191.

[3] L. Angeleri Hügel, A.Tonolo, and J. Trlifaj, "Tilting preenvelopes and cotilting precovers", *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 4 (2001): 155–170.

[4] M. Auslander, and I. Reiten. "Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories." *Adv. in Math.* 86 (1991): 111–152.

[5] S. Bazzoni. "Cotilting modules are pure-injective." *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003): 3665–3672.

[6] S. Breaz, and J. Žemlička. "The defect functor of a homomorphism and direct unions." *Algebr. Represent. Theory* DOI: 10.1007/s10468-015-9569-0.

[7] R. R. Colby, and K. R. Fuller. *Equivalence and duality for module categories. With tilting and cotilting for rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[8] R. Colpi, A. Tonolo, and J. Trlifaj. "Partial cotilting modules and the lattices induced by them." *Comm. Algebra* 25, (1997): 3225–3237.

[9] X. H. Fu, P. A. Guil Asensio, I. Herzog, and B. Torrecillas. "Ideal Approximation Theory", *Adv. in Math.* 244, (2013): 750–790.

[10] R. Göbel, J. Trlifaj. *Approximations and Endomorphism Algebras of Modules*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012.

[11] P. Griffith. "On a subfunctor of Ext." *Arch. Math.* 21, (1970): 17–22.

[12] B. Keller, and D. Vossieck. "Aisles in derived categories.", *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sr. A* 40, (1988): 239–253.

[13] H. Krause. "A short proof for Auslanders defect formula.", *Lin. Alg. Appl.* 365, (2003): 267–270.

[14] S. Koenig, and D. Yang. "Silting objects, simple-minded collections, t-structures and co-t-structures for finite-dimensional algebras." *Doc. Math.* 19, (2014): 403–438.

[15] C. U. Jensen, and H. Lenzing. *Model Theoretic Algebra*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1989.

[16] R. Strebel. "A homological finiteness criterion." *Math. Z.* 151, (1976): 263–275.

[17] R. Wisbauer. *Foundations of module and ring theory*, Algebra, Logic and Applications. 3., Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1991.

Simion Breaz: "Babeş-Bolyai" University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Str. Mihail Kogălniceanu 1, 400084, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

E-mail address: bodo@math.ubbcluj.ro

Flaviu Pop: "Babeş-Bolyai" University, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, str. T. Mihali, nr. 58-60, 400591, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

E-mail address: flaviu.v@gmail.com; flaviu.pop@econ.ubbcluj.ro